

# Elementary Real Analysis

SIVMENG HUN

32 Novembre 2024

## 1 Completeness Property

### 1.1 Relation between $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$

Here we assume that there exists  $\mathbb{N}$  a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

- (i).  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and is the smallest element in  $\mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii). If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii). If  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \neq m$ , then  $|n - m| > 1$ .

**Theorem 1** (Archimedean Property): *The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above. In other words, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > x$ .*

**Proof.** Assume by contradiction that the above statement is wrong, that is the set  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded above. Thus  $\alpha = \sup \mathbb{N}$  exists. By definition of supremum, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$n > \alpha - 1 \implies \alpha < n + 1.$$

However, this is a contradiction since  $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha$  is supposed to be an upper bound of  $\mathbb{N}$ . ■

**Theorem 2** (Well-ordering property): *Any non-empty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  has a minimal element; in other words  $\min S \in S$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathbb{N}$  bounded below, then so does  $S$ . We conclude from completeness property that  $\alpha = \inf S \in \mathbb{R}$  exists. It suffices to prove that  $\alpha \in S$ . Argue by contradiction and suppose that  $\alpha \notin S$ . In

particular,  $\alpha$  is an a natural number. From definition of infimum, there is an element  $s \in S$  so that  $\alpha \leq s < \alpha + 1$ . Moreover we cannot have  $\alpha = s$ , since we assumed  $\alpha \notin S$ . Thus we have found  $s \in S$  such that

$$\alpha < s < \alpha + 1.$$

Notice that  $s$  is a number that is greater than  $\alpha = \inf S$ . Using definition of infimum again, we conclude that there is  $s' \in S$  with  $\alpha \leq s' < s$ . Using the same argument as above, we must have  $\alpha < s'$ . Combining all inequalities, we obtain

$$\alpha < s' < s < \alpha + 1.$$

Hence  $0 < s - s' < 1$ . This is a contradiction to the (iii) property of  $\mathbb{N}$ . ■

## 1.2 Relation between $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$

**Theorem 3** (Density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ): *For any two distinct real numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x < y$ , there is a rational number  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying  $x < r < y$ .*

We say that a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is dense provided that for any  $x < y$ , there exists  $a \in A$  with  $x < a < y$ . From the above theorem, the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  has this exact property. In other words, this means that no matter how you choose  $x$  and  $y$ , there is always an element in  $\mathbb{Q}$  sits between them. Visually, the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are densely put into  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is why we say  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The machinery of the proof is to use Archimedean property. To shorten the proof a bit, we are going to use the fact that, for any two numbers that are strictly of distance 1 apart, there is an integer sits between them. This fact is left as an exercise, as you can see in TD n°1.

**Proof.** Let  $x < y$  be real numbers. From Archimedean property, there is an natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \frac{1}{y-x}$ . Equivalently,

$$ny - nx > 1.$$

Since  $ny$  and  $nx$  are strictly of distance 1 part, there is an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nx < m < ny$ . Thus

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

Therefore, we have found a rational number  $\frac{m}{n}$  that is between  $x$  and  $y$ . This concludes the proof. ■